UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/757,910	01/15/2004	Wolfgang Neuberger	BJA334A	4796	
28184 BOLESH J. SK	7590 10/15/201 UTNIK	0	EXAMINER		
	C INDUSTRIES, INC.	FARAH, AHMED M			
	EADOW, MA 01028		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3769		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/15/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/757,9	10	NEUBERGER, WOLFGANG				
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Ahmed M		3769				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <i>09 July 2010</i> .						
· · _	_	This action is	non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for	 allowance excep	t for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is			
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-17 and 19-24</u> is/are pend	ding in the applica	ation.					
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)🖂	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-17 and 19-24</u> is/are rejected.							
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election	requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
	-) objected to by the E	Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
	a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
, .	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:	atoni Application				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7, 9-17 and 19-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen US Patent No. 6,416,531 in view of Neuberger et al. US Patent No. 6,503,268.

Chen discloses a phototherapeutic apparatus and method of use, the apparatus comprising: a light source **26**; and a beam splitter **28** featuring a multiple radiation output port adapted to accept a plurality of waveguides **30a-30e** (see Fig. 1). In alternative embodiment, Chen discloses a multiple of probes, each comprising a plurality of LED's (see Figs. 3-5C).

Chen does not teach a control means for control the output of the light source 26 or for independently controlling the light LED. However, the use of control mechanism for controlling the output of treatment light sources is known in the art. Neuberger et al. disclose an alternative treatment apparatus and method of use, the apparatus comprising a plurality of laser diodes that can be independently powered and thus controlled, a power supply for powering the laser diodes, and a programmable controller operatively connected to the laser diodes connected and the power supply to control the

Application/Control Number: 10/757,910 Page 3

Art Unit: 3769

output of the light source (see col. 2, lines 47-49; col. 4 lines 11-17; and col. 5, lines 2-4). Therefore, at the time of the applicant's invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Chen in view of Neuberger et al. and use a control means to control the output power of the light source(s).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on July 9, 2010, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant states that claims 1, 19, 21, and 22 of the instant application recite a medical device comprising a means for independently and simultaneously controlling the power output levels of individual radiation ports. The applicant further argues that Chen fails to teach or suggest a means for independently and simultaneously controlling the output power of the individual light source as claimed. The applicant further argues that the secondary reference, Neuberger, would not cure the deficiency of Chen and the combination of the two references would render Chen inoperative.

In response the applicant's arguments, the examiner recognizes and agrees with the applicant that Chen does not teach a control means for individually controlling the output of light emitting diodes. However, as stated in the last Office Action, the use of control mechanism for independently and simultaneously controlling the output of multiple light sources is known in the art. With respect to the argument that combining the two references would render Chen inoperative,

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ahmed M. Farah whose telephone number is (571) 272-4765. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon, Tue, Thur and Fri between 9:30 AM 7:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johnson Henry can be reached on (571) 272-4768. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 10/757,910 Page 5

Art Unit: 3769

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ahmed M Farah/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3769

September 29, 2010.